

Technical algorithms for 2025 National Student Survey measures

Introduction

1. This document outlines how the key splits and measures for the 2025 National Student Survey (NSS) are derived.
2. The NSS population is derived using records taken from the HESA student record or the Individualised Learner Record (ILR). For further education colleges in Northern Ireland, a separate student data return is used and values are mapped to those defined in this document.
3. To have consistency between Office for Students (OfS) outputs, many of the splits are derived from the algorithms defined for the student outcomes and experience measures.¹ Where relevant, these are referenced directly.
4. The 2025 NSS publication uses data with these freeze dates:
 - All approved amendments to the underlying student data returns up to the 30 April 2025 are incorporated into this release.
 - All provider mergers confirmed up to 13 June 2025 are incorporated into this release.

Base year

5. This field is not published but is used to link students in the NSS population to the equivalent student record data. In almost all cases base year will be 2023 for NSS 2025 as the population is defined using the 2023-24 student data return.
6. For a small number of records, base year will be 2022. This is for the following reasons:
 - a. The student was intercalating away from their main course in the 2023-24 academic year. In these cases, it is more appropriate to take the course information for the previous year as representative of their main course overall.
 - b. The student was dormant in the 2023-24 academic year and a full data return was not supplied. In these cases, the previous year student return must be used to link to a fully populated record. If this record is not complete then data will be linked with a base year of 2021 (using the 2021-22 student record).

¹ See <https://www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/student-outcome-and-experience-measures/documentation/>.

Survey year

7. This field is not published but is used to identify the year that the student was surveyed in. For the current NSS this will be 2025. For the previous year's NSS this will be 2024.

Mode of study

8. This field gives the student's mode of study at the start of the course. It is derived directly from the IPSTARTMODE and may take the following values:
- a. Apprenticeship.
 - b. Full-time.
 - c. Part-time.
 - d. All modes.
9. 'All modes' is the combination of all apprenticeship, full-time and part-time students in the NSS population.

Level of study

10. This field uses the course and qualification aims to allocate a level of study for the base year. It is derived from the IPLEVEL. Only undergraduates are eligible for the NSS.

| Value in NSS publication | Definition |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Other undergraduate | IPLEVEL in (OUG, UGCREDIT) |
| First degree | IPLEVEL = DEG |
| Undergraduate with postgraduate component | IPLEVEL in (PGTM, PUGD, PUGO) |

11. 'All undergraduates' is the combination of the three values above.

Subject level

12. This field gives the subject level by which the data is grouped and may take the following values:
- a. CAH1, the most broad subject grouping comprising 21 groups.
 - b. CAH2, comprising 35 groups.
 - c. CAH3, the most detailed subject grouping, comprising 167 groups.
 - d. All subjects.
13. 'All subjects' is the combination of all subject groups. It is the equivalent to provider-level data.

Subject of study

14. This field gives the subject categorisations of the student's course, based on version 1.3.4 of the Common Aggregation Hierarchy (CAH).² It is defined using the IPSBJ_CAH1, IPSBJ_CAH2 and IPSBJ_CAH3 fields and weighted by FPE.

Full person equivalence (FPE)

15. The FPE is used to weight students who are studying across multiple subject areas. Each student in the NSS population will account for one FPE but this may be split across up to five subjects of study. It is defined directly as SUBWT.

Population

16. This field defines whether the provider is where the student is registered or taught. It will take into account whether a provider was involved in a merger before 13 June 2025.

Provider

17. This field gives the name of UK higher education providers. It also contains total figures for England, Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland and UK where appropriate.
18. For the registered population IPUKPRNRC is used to define the provider.
19. For the taught population IPUKPRNTC is used to define the provider.

Question

20. This field gives the number and wording of questions and themes in the NSS.
21. The 2025 NSS comprises 25 core questions, followed by a question on mental wellbeing. Students registered at providers in England are then asked a further question on freedom of expression and students registered at providers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales are asked an overall satisfaction question.
22. The NSS also contains six questions focused on the experience of students undertaking healthcare, allied health, and clinical practice placements. These are only offered to students identified as having such a component as part of their course. This population is informed by data in the HESA student record relating to professional, statutory and regulatory bodies (PSRBs) or relating to the funding status of the course.
23. All questions with the exception of overall satisfaction and healthcare questions use a four point item-specific response scale. These remaining questions use a five point agreement response scale.
24. This field also contains the names of themes, which are groups of themed questions. See Annex A for a list of NSS 2025 questions grouped into themes.

² See <https://www.hesa.ac.uk/support/documentation/hecos/cah>.

Positivity measure

25. For each question, this field is calculated as the number of positive responses divided by the number of responses, excluding 'this does not apply to me', presented as a percentage. The calculation is made using values weighted by FPE.
26. This field is derived differently for themes.

Theme measures

27. The positivity measure for themes is not simply an average of the questions within the given theme. The algorithm accounts for students who do not complete all questions within a theme, either by dropping out of the survey or selecting 'this does not apply to me'.
28. Each respondent to NSS 2025 has a value calculated for each theme. This is calculated as the number of positive responses to the questions within that theme divided by the number of responses to the questions in the theme, excluding 'this does not apply to me'. This gives the student's theme value.
29. For each published theme measure, the mean student theme value is calculated for all students within the given publishing unit. If that unit includes subject, then the student theme value is weighted by FPE.

Suppressions

30. Data is suppressed when the response rate for the unit is less than 50 per cent. This is to control the risk that the views of respondents are different from the views of the non-respondents, making the estimates less accurate. Statistics related to these units are entirely removed from the published results. See example a, in the table below, which is suppressed because fewer than 50 of the 100 students in the eligible population responded to the survey.
31. Suppression is applied when fewer than ten individual students within the unit responded to NSS 2025. We do this to reduce the risk that anyone looking at the NSS results could identify how an individual student responded. Statistics related to these units are entirely removed from the published results. See example b, in the table below, which is suppressed because only eight students responded.
32. Suppression is applied when the response rate for a publication unit is 100 per cent, and all, or nearly all, the students responded negatively to a particular question. This is to ensure that students feel able to honestly report poor quality, without risk of being identified. This suppression is very rare; when it occurs, we indicate that the positivity measure for the question is very low using the marker "DPL" (data protection low), but otherwise provide minimal information. See examples c, d and e, in the examples table.
33. When, for a publication unit, a theme includes a question that is DPL suppressed, the theme measure is suppressed. In this case, publishing the theme measure could allow data users to infer information about the suppressed DPL measure. We therefore suppress the theme measure too, and mark it as "DP" (data protection). See example g, in the examples table.
34. Examples:

| Example | Number in population | Number of responses | Number of positive responses | Number of negative responses | Suppressed? | Reason |
|---------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| a. | 100 | 46 | 42 | 4 | Yes | Response rate is below 50% |
| b. | 10 | 8 | 6 | 2 | Yes | Fewer than 10 students responded |
| c. | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | Yes | Everyone in the population responded negatively |
| d. | 100 | 100 | 1 | 99 | Yes | Response rate was 100% and only one student was positive |
| e. | 100 | 99 | 0 | 99 | No | Only suppressed if response rate is 100% |
| f. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | No | Very positive cases will not be suppressed |

| Example | Number in population | Description | Theme measure | Suppressed? | Reason |
|---------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| g. | 100 | Theme score for examples a-f | DP | Yes | One or more of the individual positivity measures (examples c and d) contributing to the theme score are DPL suppressed |

Annex A: NSS 2025 questionnaire

Teaching on my course

1. How good are teaching staff at explaining things?
2. How often do teaching staff make the subject engaging?
3. How often is the course intellectually stimulating?
4. How often does your course challenge you to achieve your best work?

Learning opportunities

5. To what extent have you had the chance to explore ideas and concepts in depth?
6. How well does your course introduce subjects and skills in a way that builds on what you have already learned?
7. To what extent have you had the chance to bring together information and ideas from different topics?
8. To what extent does your course have the right balance of directed and independent study?
9. How well has your course developed your knowledge and skills that you think you will need for your future?

Assessment and feedback

10. How clear were the marking criteria used to assess your work?
11. How fair has the marking and assessment been on your course?
12. How well have assessments allowed you to demonstrate what you have learned?
13. How often have you received assessment feedback on time?
14. How often does feedback help you to improve your work?

Academic support

15. How easy was it to contact teaching staff when you needed to?
16. How well have teaching staff supported your learning?

Organisation and management

17. How well organised is your course?
18. How well were any changes to teaching on your course communicated?

Learning resources

19. How well have the IT resources and facilities supported your learning?

20. How well have the library resources (e.g., books, online services and learning spaces) supported your learning?
21. How easy is it to access subject specific resources (e.g., equipment, facilities, software) when you need them?

Student voice

22. To what extent do you get the right opportunities to give feedback on your course?
23. To what extent are students' opinions about the course valued by staff?
24. How clear is it that students' feedback on the course is acted on?

Additional questions

25. How well does the students' union (association or guild) represent students' academic interests?
26. How well communicated was information about your university/college's mental wellbeing support services?
27. During your studies, how free did you feel to express your ideas, opinions, and beliefs?
28. Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course.

Healthcare, allied health, and clinical practice questions

- HC1. I received sufficient preparatory information prior to my placement(s).
- HC2. I was allocated placement(s) suitable for my course.
- HC3. I received appropriate supervision on placement(s).
- HC4. I was given opportunities to meet my required practice learning outcomes / competences.
- HC5. My contribution during placement(s) as part of the clinical team was valued.
- HC6. My practice supervisor(s) understood how my placement(s) related to the broader requirements of my course.